Pharmacokinetic analysis of felodipine–grapefruit juice interaction based on an irreversible enzyme inhibition model
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Aims
Ingestion of grapefruit juice (GFJ) alters the pharmacokinetics of various orally administered drugs. Quantitative evaluation of this GFJ–drug interaction is required for the proper clinical management of patients.

Methods
Using felodipine as a model drug, we constructed a pharmacokinetic model based on irreversible inhibition of intestinal cytochrome P450 3A4 (CYP3A4) by GFJ. We fitted previously published data [5, 6] for felodipine ER (extended release formulation) to the ratio of CL GI,int before and after grapefruit juice ingestion by nonlinear least-squares regression analysis to estimate the reaction rate constant between GFJ and CYP3A4 (K) and the elimination rate constant of CYP3A4 (k).

Results
The model gave a turnover rate of CYP3A4 of 0.0849 h⁻¹, corresponding to a half-life of 8.16 h, in agreement with reported values. The AUC-time profiles of felodipine ER in the case of different amounts and schedules of GFJ ingestion were simulated using the parameter values estimated from the model.

Conclusions
The modelling leads to the important conclusion that GFJ–felodipine interaction increases with increasing frequency and amount of GFJ ingestion, and that an interval of 2–3 days between GFJ intake and felodipine administration is necessary if GFJ–felodipine interaction is to be avoided.
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Introduction
There have been a number of reports showing that the oral intake of grapefruit juice (GFJ) results in increased plasma concentrations of several clinically used drugs administered orally, including dihydropyridine-type calcium antagonists such as felodipine [1–9], nifedipine [3, 10], nisoldipine [11], nitrrendipine [12], and verapamil [13], terfenadine [14], cyclosporin A [15, 16], diazepam [17], midazolam [18], triazolam [19], saquinavir [20], ethynylestradiol [21], caffeine [22] and tacrolimus [23]. In contrast, the ingestion of GFJ does not alter the plasma concentrations of felodipine [7], nifedipine [10], midazolam [18] and cyclosporin A [15] after intravenous drug administration. This finding suggested that GFJ influences drug absorption in the intestine. The above drugs are substrates of cytochrome P450 3A (CYP3A4 and/or 3A5) and either substrates or inhibitors of P-glycoprotein (P-gp) [24]. Therefore, the mechanism of the GFJ–drug interaction is thought to involve inhibition of drug metabolism by CYP3A and and/or inhibition of drug efflux by P-gp [2, 25, 26] in the intestine. The relative contributions of these mechanisms to the increase in the plasma concentration of drugs in the presence of GFJ seem to be determined by the differences in the affinities of the drugs for the two proteins. Since CYP3A4 is a major drug-metabolizing enzyme in human intestine, it appears that some components of GFJ inhibit drug metabolism by intestinal CYP3A4 [2, 27], and dihydroxybergamottin [27], bergamottin [28] and GF-I-1 [29] have been identified as candidate inhibitors. We found that these furanocoumarin derivatives also inhibit P-gp function in Caco-2 cells [30]. However, calcium antagonists of the dihydropyridine type, used as antihypertensive agents, are only weak inhibitors of P-gp [24], and may be poor substrates, so the GFJ–felodipine interaction is likely to be predominantly determined by CYP3A4 inhibition.
Quantitative prediction of the GFJ–drug interaction is important in order to protect patients from possible adverse effects. In this study, we developed a pharmacokinetic model based on the irreversible inhibition of intestinal CYP3A4 by GFJ components, using felodipine as a model drug. The parameter values estimated from the model were used to predict the effect of amount and frequency of GFJ ingestion on the drug clearance.

**Methods**

**Collection of data**

For development of the pharmacokinetic model of felodipine–GFJ interaction in this study, we utilized pharmacokinetic data obtained from two clinical trials by Lundahl et al. [5, 6]. In the first, nine healthy male volunteers were given 10 mg felodipine ER orally together with either 200 ml tap water or GFJ at 0, 1, 4, 10 or 24 h before administration of felodipine [5]. In the second, 12 healthy male volunteers received 10 mg felodipine ER after daily intake of either 200 ml of tap water or GFJ for 1 day or 14 days [6].

**Development of the model**[31–34]

The developed model, based on irreversible inhibition of the drug-metabolizing enzyme CYP3A4 by components of GFJ, is shown in Figure 1. First, the intestinal intrinsic clearance (CL_{GI,int}) and hepatic intrinsic clearance (CL_{H,int}) are calculated in the absence of GFJ. It is assumed that felodipine is eliminated in the liver, not in the kidneys.

The CL_{GI,int} is determined based on the following equations:

\[ CL_{GI,int} = \frac{Q_{GI}}{Q_{GI} + CL_{H,int}} \] (a)

where Q_{GI} is the hepatic blood flow and CL_{H,int} is 844.2 ml min^{-1} (obtained from Lundahl’s report [7]). We assumed that the CL_{GI,int} does not contribute to the CL_{iv} after intravenous administration of the drug.

The total clearance (CL_{oral}) after oral administration is calculated as follows,

\[ CL_{oral} = \frac{Dose}{AUC(b)} \]

The bioavailability in the liver (F_{liver}) and the absolute pharmacokinetic data obtained from two clinical trials by bioavailability (F) of the drug following oral intake are given as follows,

\[ F_{liver} = \frac{Q_{H}}{Q_{H} + CL_{H,int}} \] (c)

\[ F = F_{abs} \Omega F_{GI} \Omega F_{liver} \] (d)

If we assume F_{abs} = 1, the bioavailability in the intestine (F_{GI}) can be determined by using equations (a), (d) and (e). We can also determine the intestinal intrinsic clearance (CL_{GI,int}) in the presence of GFJ by the same procedure. The intestinal intrinsic clearance of felodipine (CL_{GI,int}) in the presence of GFJ and that in the absence of GFJ (CL_{GI,int}) can be determined as follows.

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1** Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic model of grapefruit juice–drug interaction based on irreversible enzyme inhibition. C_{GFJ}: small intestinal GFJ concentration after GFJ ingestion (arbitrary unit: AU), k_{in}: inflow rate constant of GFJ from stomach to small intestine (h^{-1}), k_{out}: outflow rate constant of GFJ from small intestine to colon (h^{-1}), E: active CYP3A4 (mol), E_{c}: inactive CYP3A4 (mol), k: reaction rate constant of GFJ and CYP3A4 (AU^{-1}h^{-1}), k_{e}: elimination rate constant of CYP3A4 (h^{-1}), k_{s}: biosynthesis rate constant of CYP3A4 (mol h^{-1}).
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In the absence of GFJ,

\[ \frac{CL_{GI,int}}{CL_{GI,int}} = \frac{V_{\text{max}}}{V_{\text{max}}} = \frac{E}{E_0} = \varepsilon \]

where \( V_{\text{max}} \) (\( \mu \text{mol min}^{-1} \)) and \( E_0 \) (\( \mu \text{mol} \)) are the maximum reaction velocity and the total active CYP3A4 content, respectively, in the absence of GFJ. The \( V_{\text{max}} \) (\( \mu \text{mol min}^{-1} \)) and \( E \) (\( \mu \text{mol} \)) are those in the presence of GFJ and \( K_s \) (\( \text{min}^{-1} \)) is the Michaelis Menten constant of the enzyme reaction and the metabolic rate constant, respectively. We assumed that these two parameters are unaffected in the presence of GFJ, and that only the active CYP3A4 content \( E \) is changed in the presence of GFJ. This was based on the report that the effect of GFJ on oral felodipine kinetics is mainly selective downregulation of CYP3A4 in the small intestine [2], although \( K_s \) might be affected.

Thus, the ratio (\( \varepsilon \)) of the active CYP3A4 contents in the presence and absence of GFJ is given by:

\[ \frac{CL_{GI,int}}{CL_{GI,int}} = \frac{V_{\text{max}}}{V_{\text{max}}} = \frac{E}{E_0} = \varepsilon \]

The small intestinal transit time of the solution was obtained by subtraction of gastric emptying time from colon arrival time. So, the concentration-time profile (\( C_{\text{GFI}} \)) of orally administered GFJ in the intestinal tract is assumed to follow the one-compartment model:

\[ C_{\text{GFI}} = \frac{k_t}{(k_t - k_s)} \left( \exp(-k_s t) - \exp(-k_s t^*) \right) \]

where \( k_t \) (\( \text{h}^{-1} \)) and \( k_s \) (\( \text{h}^{-1} \)) are the inflow rate constant of GFJ from stomach to small intestine and the outflow rate constant of GFJ from small intestine to colon, respectively, and \( t \) (\( \text{h} \)) is the time since GFJ ingestion. \( C_{\text{GFI}} \) is an arbitrary value.

After oral administration of felodipine, GFJ induces a significant increase of AUC compared with the control. The effect of GFJ on the metabolism of felodipine is mediated mainly through selective downregulation of CYP3A4 in the small intestine, and the intestinal CYP3D6 and CYP1A1 protein content are not affected [2]. We have developed this mathematical model for the purpose of explaining the GFJ-felodipine interaction based on these biochemical findings, and GFJ components are presumed to react specifically with CYP3A4 in intestinal cells, converting active CYP3A4 to inactive CYP3A4 with a rate constant of \( k_e \) (\( \text{h}^{-1} \)). Furthermore, it is assumed that CYP3A4 is synthesized at a constant rate, \( K_s \) (\( \text{mol h}^{-1} \)), and is eliminated with the first-order rate constant, \( k_e \) (\( \text{h}^{-1} \)). Thus, the total CYP3A4 content, \( E_0 \) (\( \mu \text{mol} \)) should be given by \( K_s / k_e \) at steady state. The time-dependent changes of the active CYP3A4 content, \( E \) (\( \mu \text{mol} \)), and the inactive CYP3A4 content in the presence of GFJ components, \( E_i \) (\( \mu \text{mol} \)), are given by the following equations:

\[ \frac{dE}{dt} = -K_e C_{\text{GFI}} E e^{-k_e t} \]

\[ \frac{dE_i}{dt} = K_e C_{\text{GFI}} E e^{-k_e t} \]

In these equations, it is assumed that the elimination rate constant of \( E \) is the same as that of \( E_i \). In order to validate this assumption, we analysed the experimental data in two ways.

In analysis I, the elimination rate constant of \( E \) is assumed to be the same as the elimination constant (\( k \)) of \( E \):

\[ \frac{dE}{dt} = -K_e C_{\text{GFI}} E e^{-k_e t} \]

Nonlinear least-squares regression analysis was conducted with the program MULTI (RUNGE) and \( K_e \) and \( k \) (and \( k_e \)) were estimated to be as follows (estimated value ± s.d.):

\[ K_e = 0.966 ± 0.0815 \text{ (h)} \]

\[ k = 0.0869 ± 0.000147 \text{ (h)} \]

\[ K_e = 0.0869 ± 0.000112 \text{ (h)} \]

AIC = − 25.84 (for analysis I)

AIC = − 23.85 (for analysis II)

where AIC is an information criterion. Analysis I shows a better agreement between predictions and observations than analysis II, and this allowed us to decrease the number of parameters by using a single elimination constant, \( k \).

Data analysis

The pharmacokinetic parameters for gastrointestinal transit of GFJ, such as \( k_s \) and \( k_s \), were estimated by using the reported data on the behaviour of orally administered GFJ in stomach and intestine [39]. The \( k_s \) value was determined as the reciprocal of gastric emptying time (0.30 h), i.e. 3.33 (h\(^{-1}\)). The intestinal transit time (4.10 h) was calculated from the difference between the gastric emptying time (0.30 h) and arrival time at the colon (4.40 h). The \( k_s \) value was determined as the reciprocal of the intestinal transit time, 0.244 (h\(^{-1}\)). By using these parameters, the change of \( C_{\text{GFI}} \) was simulated according to equation (b).
calculated according to equations (a)-(e) by using data obtained after the single oral administration of 10 mg felodipine ER with GFJ or water [4] and data obtained after the single oral administration of 10 mg felodipine ER following daily intake of GFJ or water for 14 days.

By using simulated $C_{\text{gt}}$ time profiles (equation k), $t_{\text{max}}$ (7 points) were fitted to equations (n) and (o) with the nonlinear least-squares regression analysis program MULTI [KUNGE] [40] and both $K$ and $k$ were estimated. In this analysis, we wished to estimate the time-dependent change of felodipine AUC in the presence of grapefruit juice. But, AUC is the sum of the $V_{\text{max}}$ area under the plasma concentration curve from administration to infinity. Therefore, we used $t_{\text{max}}$ at the time of $C_{\text{gt}}$ as $t$ as a measure of the interaction effectiveness with grapefruit juice. For convenience, we took $t$ as $t_{\text{max}}$ + real time, since it is simply taken as real time, the effect has a large value immediately after grapefruit ingestion, which seems unnatural and difficult to analyse.

By using the parameter values thus obtained and equations (k), (n), (o), we simulated the time-dependent changes of the active CYP3A4 content ratio ($\theta$) and the increase of felodipine AUC was calculated by use of the following equation in the case of various amounts (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 times per day) of GFJ ingestion. Simulations were also conducted for drug administration after GFJ ingestion daily for 7 days and three times per day for 7 days.

$$AUC'/AUC = \frac{Dose \cdot F / CL_{\text{gt},u}}{(Dose \cdot F / CL_{\text{gt},u})} = \frac{F_{\text{gt}} / F_{\text{gt}}}{\Omega_{\text{gt}}} = \left(\frac{Q_{\text{int}} / (Q_{\text{int}} + CL_{\text{gt,int}})}{Q_{\text{int}} / (Q_{\text{int}} + CL_{\text{gt,int}})}\right) = \left(\frac{R + 1}{R + \theta}\right)$$

where $R$ is $Q_{\text{int}} / CL_{\text{gt,int}}$.

Results

Effects of drug administration time after ingestion of GFJ and 14 day ingestion of GFJ on metabolic clearance of felodipine

Table 1 and Table 2 show the pharmacokinetic data (AUC, increase ratio of AUC, oral clearance ($CL_{\text{oral}} = \text{dose} / AUC$), $t_{\text{max}}$ (b), t (b), $CL_{\text{gt},u}$, $F$, $F_{\text{gt}}$, $CL_{\text{gt}}$, $Q_{\text{int}}$, $Q_{\text{gt}i}$, $CL_{\text{gt,int}}$, $CL_{\text{gt,intrinsic}}$, and active enzyme ratio ($\theta$)) that were utilized for analysis in this study. Table 1 shows the pharmacokinetic data of felodipine ER administered at 0, 1, 4, 10, or 24 h after intake of GFJ, while Table 2 shows the effect of ingestion of GFJ for 1 day or 14 days on the pharmacokinetic data of felodipine.

Internal transition of GFJ

To simulate the intestinal GFJ concentration profile ($C_{\text{gt}}$), the inflow constant of GFJ from stomach to small intestine, $k_1$ (h$^{-1}$), and the outflow constant of GFJ from small intestine to colon, $k_3$ (h$^{-1}$) were used (see equation k). The $C_{\text{gt}}$-time curves after single ingestion of GFJ or daily intake of GFJ for 14 days are shown in Figure 2a-I and Figure 2a-II, respectively.

Estimation of the reaction rate constant ($K$) between GFJ and CYP3A4 and the elimination rate constant ($k$) of CYP3A4

Based on the irreversible enzyme inhibition model (Figure 1), pharmacokinetic data ($\theta$-values-time profiles) of felodipine (Tables 1 and 2) after single or repeated ingestion of GFJ were fitted to equations (o) and (g) with the nonlinear least-squares regression analysis program in order to estimate $K$ and $k$. The estimated values of $K$ and $k$ were $0.922 \pm 0.0688$ (AU$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) and $0.0849 \pm 0.00913$ (h$^{-1}$), respectively. The simulation curves and the observed values of active CYP3A4 ratio ($\theta$) and change of felodipine AUC after single or repeated ingestion of GFJ are shown in Figure 2c-I, II and Figure 2d-I, II. Good agreement was found between the observed and predicted values.

Simulation of the metabolic inhibition of CYP3A4 by GFJ

The inhibition-time profiles of felodipine metabolism after ingestion of GFJ in various amounts and at various frequencies were simulated by using the estimated parameters. We also simulated the profiles of the decline and recovery of $\theta$ after three ingestions of GFJ in one day, after one ingestion per day for 7 days, and after three ingestions per day for 7 days.

(a) Effect of amount of GFJ ingested (1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 times the regular amount) on time profile of $\theta$ and AUC of felodipine

As shown in Figure 4, the $\theta$-value was immediately reduced to 0.2 by a single dose of GFJ, and to 0.1 by double that dose. When the amount of GFJ was increased to 3, 4, 5, 6 times the regular dose, there was little further change of the $\theta$-value. In each case, the $\theta$-value recovered to the control level (1.0) within 2 days (Figure 3b). In a mirror image of the $\theta$-values, the AUC of felodipine increased immediately after GFJ ingestion. The maximum increase of AUC was about 1.7-fold. The $\theta$-values-time profiles are given in Figure 2c-I, II and Figure 2d-I, II.
Table 1: Experimental data for interaction between single ingestion of GFJ and felodipine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time from ingestion of GFJ to administration of felodipine (h)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dose (mg)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC (nmol l$^{-1}$h)</td>
<td>145.9</td>
<td>148.0</td>
<td>144.5</td>
<td>127.4</td>
<td>114.6</td>
<td>101.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase of AUC (fold)</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_{max}$ (h)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_{(h)}$</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{\text{oral}}$ or $\text{CL}</em>{\infty \text{oral}}$ (ml min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>2973</td>
<td>2931</td>
<td>3002</td>
<td>3405</td>
<td>3785</td>
<td>4265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$ or $F_{\infty}$</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{\text{GI}}$ or $F_{\infty \text{GI}}$</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td>0.830</td>
<td>0.731</td>
<td>0.658</td>
<td>0.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CL}<em>{\text{GI,int}}$ or $\text{CL}</em>{\infty \text{GI,int}}$ (ml min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon$</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The AUC and increase of AUC values are taken from Lundahl's data [3]. The experiments were always performed by starting in the morning after an overnight fast. On the study days the subjects were given 10 mg felodipine ER orally and either 200 ml tap water (control), or GFJ before the tablet. The juice was always prepared immediately before intake by diluting 50 ml of frozen concentrate with 150 ml tap water. $\text{CL}_{\text{oral}}$, $F_{\infty}$ are clearance and availability after intake of grapefruit juice. $\varepsilon$ is the ratio of active CYP3A4 to total CYP3A4. AUC, increase (fold) of AUC and $\text{CL}_{\text{oral}}$ (oral clearance) were calculated with equation (b) in Materials and Methods. $t_{max}$ (h) is the time of maximum plasma concentration, and $t_{(h)}$ is $t_{max}$-h (time after GFJ ingestion). Bioavailability ($F$) and intestinal bioavailability ($F_{\text{GI}}$) were calculated with equation (d), intestinal intrinsic clearance ($\text{CL}_{\text{GI,int}}$) was calculated with equations (a), (d), (e), and metabolic activity for felodipine ($\varepsilon$) was calculated with equation (j).

As shown in Figure 6, the $\varepsilon$-value immediately fell to 0.2 after one dose of GFJ, and to 0.05 after ingestion of GFJ 10 times in a day (Figure 4a). Correspondingly, the AUC of felodipine was immediately increased 1.5-fold by GFJ ingestion. The maximum increase of the AUC was 1.65-fold after ingestion of GFJ 10 times in a day (Figure 4b).

(c) Simulation of the time profiles of $\varepsilon$ and AUC of felodipine after three ingestions of GFJ at 07.00 h, 12.00 h, and 18.00 h in 1 day was carried out. The AUC and increase of AUC values are taken from Lundahl’s data [4]. In all experiments the subjects were given 10 mg felodipine ER daily for 14 days with either 200 ml of grapefruit juice or 200 ml water (control). The juice was always prepared immediately before intake by diluting 50 ml of frozen concentrate (Miracle Mart, Canada) with 150 ml tap water. The parameters are the same as those of Table 1.

Table 2: Experimental data for interaction between felodipine and GFJ ingested once a day for 14 days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GFJ ingestion</th>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Day 14</th>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Day 14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dose (mg)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC and AUC (0, 24 h) (nmol l$^{-1}$ h)</td>
<td>127.3</td>
<td>158.6</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>101.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase of AUC (fold)</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_{max}$ (h)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_{(h)}$</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>314.6</td>
<td>5885</td>
<td>4282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{C}<em>{\text{oral}}$ or $\text{CL}</em>{\infty \text{oral}}$ (ml min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>367.2</td>
<td>2735</td>
<td>5885</td>
<td>4282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$ or $F_{\infty}$</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>0.197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{\text{GI}}$ or $F_{\infty \text{GI}}$</td>
<td>0.731</td>
<td>0.911</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>0.582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CL}<em>{\text{GI,int}}$ or $\text{CL}</em>{\infty \text{GI,int}}$ (ml min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>1225</td>
<td>645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon$</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The AUC and increase of AUC values are taken from Lundahl’s data [4]. In all experiments the subjects were given 10 mg felodipine ER daily for 14 days with either 200 ml grapefruit juice or 200 ml water (control). The juice was always prepared immediately before intake by diluting 50 ml of frozen concentrate (Miracle Mart, Canada) with 150 ml tap water. The parameters are the same as those of Table 1.
The ingestion of GFJ were fitted to equations (n) and (o) by nonlinear least-squares regression analysis. The estimated values of $k_e$ and $k$ for the plain tablets (1.86-to 3.34-fold; 1, 3, 4) were 0.966 ± 0.00107 (h$^{-1}$) and 0.0869 ± 0.000107 (h$^{-1}$), respectively.

Felodipine after once-daily or three-times-daily ingestions of GFJ for 7 days. The time profiles of $a$ and AUC of felodipine during once daily or three times daily (at 07.00 h, 12.00 h, 18.00 h) ingestions of GFJ for 7 days were simulated using the developed model. The $e$-value varied between 0.1 and 0.4 and took 3 days to recover after ingestion for 5 days (Figure 6b). In the case of three GFJ ingestions per day for 7 days, the $e$-value varied between 0.1 and 0.4 and took 3 days to recover (Figure 6c), while the AUC ratio varied between 1.3 and 1.6, again taking almost 3 days to recover to the control value after the last GFJ ingestion (Figure 6d).

**Discussion**

Quantitative evaluation of this GFJ–drug interaction is required for the proper clinical management of patients. Therefore, we have constructed a pharmacokinetic model, using felodipine as a model drug. This model (Figure 1) was constructed on the assumption that CYP3A4 is down-regulated irreversibly by components of GFJ. Lown et al. reported that CYP3A4 protein content was decreased by GFJ at the level of Western blotting [2], supporting the idea that the enhanced oral availability of CYP3A4 substrates induced by ingestion of GFJ is based on downregulation of CYP3A4 [2, 25]. We fitted Lundahl’s data (Tables 1 and 2) [5, 6] for felodipine to equations (n) and (o) of our model by nonlinear least-squares regression analysis to estimate the reaction rate constants between GFJ and CYP3A4 (K) and the elimination rate constant of CYP3A4 (k). Good agreement was obtained between observed data and values predicted by the model, as shown in Figure 2. As regards agreement of the model predictions with other reported values, several authors have reported more marked effects of GFJ on the AUC of felodipine [1, 3, 4], but all of these studies used felodipine plain tablets. Our model was developed using data obtained with an extended release (ER) formulation, which has a nearly constant release rate over several hours. We used this data set [5, 6], because it is the only one giving information about the results of GFJ administration at various times before drug administration. The results obtained in this study suggest that our model is relevant for the increase of AUC on the ER formulation (1.13-to 3.11-fold; 2, 8, 9) rather than that on the plain tablets (1.86-to 3.34-fold; 1, 3, 4). So, our model may be applicable only for the ER formulation and be slightly less useful when it includes the plain tablet. In this study, we did not take into consideration the variability of the interaction among subjects. However, it is considered that the variability of the interaction among subjects is one of the important factors as well as the drug formulation on the prediction of the extent of the interaction. More detailed studies considering this important factor will be required to predict the extent of the interaction between felodipine and GFJ. Moreover, the increase in AUC after grapefruit juice was significantly less for an ER formulation than
Figure 3 Effect of amount of grapefruit juice ingested at one time on simulation curves for the ratio of active CYP3A4 to total CYP3A4 (a) in intestine (Panel a) and the increase (fold) of felodipine AUC (Panel b). The values of K and k were taken to be 0.966±0.0815 (AU−1 h−1) and 0.0869±0.00107 (h−1), respectively.

Figure 4 Effect of the frequency of grapefruit juice ingestion per day on simulation curves for the ratio of active CYP3A4 to total CYP3A4 (a) in intestine (Panel a) and the increase (fold) of felodipine AUC (Panel b). The values of K and k were taken to be 0.966±0.0815 (AU−1 h−1) and 0.0869±0.00107 (h−1), respectively.

Figure 5 Simulation curves for the ratio of active CYP3A4 to total CYP3A4 (a) (Panel a) and the increase (fold) of felodipine AUC (Panel b) after three ingestions of grapefruit juice, at 07.00h, 12.00h and 18.00h. The values of K and k were taken to be 0.966±0.0815 (AU−1 h−1) and 0.0869±0.00107 (h−1), respectively.
for plain tablets [42]. This may be because of a progressive decline in microsomal CYP3A content from duodenum to jejunum to ileum [43], whereas the absorption rate of felodipine ER, is related to erosion of the hydrophilic matrix of the ER tablet, and drug absorption may be lower in the more distal parts of the gastrointestinal tract [44]. It was suggested by the analysis using our model that twice the amount of GFJ would produce a maximum effect on felodipine pharmacokinetics (Figure 3). These results were supported by the previous experimental data by Edgar et al. [1], suggesting the validity of our model. The estimated parameter values were 0.922 (AU$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) for $K$ and 0.0849 (h$^{-1}$) for $k$. The half-life time ($t_{1/2}$) is obtained as 8.16 h, in reasonable agreement with $t_{1/2}$ of rat CYP3A1 protein (12±3 h) and heme (7±2 h) [43]. The repeated administration of GFJ during the day might be expected to produce a cumulative reduction of CYP3A4 content and activity whereas this would not be the case for GFJ given only once daily. In developing our model, we analysed the elimination rate constant of active CYP3A4 independently of that of inactive CYP3A4, but found that this gave no improvement over the use of a single rate constant. Therefore, in this analysis, we assumed that their elimination rate constants are the same. It is desirable to minimize the number of parameters to be estimated in this study because we have only 7 points as observed data.

We next simulated the inhibition profiles of $e$ after ingestion of GFJ at various amounts and frequencies by using the estimated parameters. The AUC of felodipine in the case of changing the ingested amount of GFJ (2, 3, 4, 5, 6 times the regular amount) increased by a maximum of 1.7-fold compared with the control, and reverted to the control level within 2 days after the final GFJ ingestion (Figure 3b). Furthermore, the change of AUC ratio of felodipine in the presence of GFJ predicted by this model corresponded well to the change in the ratio of active CYP3A4 content ($e$) to total CYP3A4. The change occurred within 4 h after GFJ ingestion. This result is consistent with the finding that reduction of intestinal CYP3A4 content by GFJ occurred within 4 h at the Western blotting level [25]. The AUC of felodipine increased 1.5-fold compared with the control in the case of two ingestions of GFJ per day, and 1.65-fold in the case of 10 ingestions per day (Figure 4b). Next, we simulated the AUC of felodipine after three ingestions in 1 day with meals at 07.00 h, 12.00 h and 18.00 h. The $e$-value was reduced to 0.2 immediately after GFJ ingestion at 07.00 h and to 0.1 after GFJ ingestion at 12.00 h, then remained at 0.1 after GFJ ingestion at 18.00 h. After the three ingestions, it took 2.5 days for $e$ to recover to the control level before GFJ ingestion (Figure 5a). Correspondingly, the AUC of felodipine increased 1.5-fold after GFJ ingestion at 07.00 h, almost 1.6-fold after GFJ ingestion at 12.00 h, and to 1.6-fold after GFJ ingestion at 18.00 h. After the three ingestions, it took 2.5 days for the AUC value to revert to the original level before GFJ ingestion (Figure 5b).

We next conducted a simulation study of $e$ and AUC.
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of felodipine after one ingestion or three ingestions (at 07.00 h, 12.00 h, 18.00 h) per day for 7 days. The e-value varied between 0.2 and 0.7 after a single GFJ ingestion per day for 7 days, and it took 3 days for the e-value to recover to the control value after the last GFJ ingestion (Figure 6a). The AUC ratio varied between 1.1 and 1.5 during GFJ ingestion once per day for 7 days, and it took 3 days to recover after the last GFJ ingestion (Figure 6b). The e-value varied between 0.1 and 0.4 after GFJ ingestion three times a day for 7 days, and again it took 3 days to recover after the last GFJ ingestion (Figure 6c). The AUC ratio varied between 1.3 and 1.6 after GFJ ingestion three times a day for 7 days, and also took almost 3 days to revert to the control level (Figure 6d). As described, our model also predicts that repeat GFJ administration during the day would produce a greater pharmacokinetic interaction. In the case of the interaction between felodipine and GFJ, it was observed by the administration of GFJ three times a day [2], but was not observed on once daily GFJ administration [6]. So, the effect of repeated GFJ ingestion is different by the experimental designs. While, it was estimated by using our model that the elimination half-life of the effect of the GFJ was 8 h, it may be necessary for making the experimental design to take into consideration the important points described above to predict the extent of the interaction between felodipine and GFJ.

In this study, we considered only the effect of GFJ on CYP3A4 content in the intestine. It is known that P-gp in the intestine also contributes to the low bioavailability of some drugs, but the contributions of the two mechanisms (CYP3A4 and P-gp) seem to differ from case to case. In the future, it will be necessary to consider quantitatively the effect of P-gp on the absorption of drugs such as cyclosporin and tacrolimus. So far, dihydroxybergamottin [27], bergamottin [28] and GF-4-1 (FC726) [29] have been identified as specific inhibitors of CYP3A4 in GE. We also found that these furanocoumarin derivatives inhibit the efflux functions mediated by the efflux transporters such as P-gp in Caco-2 cells [31], but the inhibitory mechanism is unclear now.

In conclusion, we have developed a pharmacokinetic model of the GFJ–felodipine interaction, and used it to simulate the effects of various amounts and frequencies of GFJ ingestion. The interaction increased with increasing amount and frequency of GFJ ingestion, with a maximum increase of 1.6– to 1.8-fold in the AUC of felodipine. The AUC value recovered to the control level within 2 or 3 days after the final GFJ ingestion. Thus, in order to prevent GFJ–felodipine ERI interaction, it is necessary withhold GFJ for 2 or 3 days before administration of the drug.
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